





PICSL : Semi-Lagrangian and Particle Methods for Solving the Vlasov Equation

Y. Barsamian, J. Bernier, S. Hirstoaga, M. Mehrenberger, P. Navaro

Universities of Rennes & Strasbourg

August 2016





- What is plasma?
- How can we model its dynamics?
- How can we code a simulation in the chosen model?
- How can we optimize that code?

The fourth state of matter... 99% of the universe!

The fourth state of matter... 99% of the universe!



lightning

The fourth state of matter... 99% of the universe!



fluorescent light

25/08/2016 3 / 20

The fourth state of matter... 99% of the universe!



ITER^a tokamak (controlled thermonuclear fusion)

a. « The way » (in latin) to produce energy

Modelling

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \overrightarrow{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overrightarrow{x}} - \frac{e}{m} \overrightarrow{E} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overrightarrow{v}} = 0 & \text{Vlasov} \\ -\Delta \phi = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0} & \text{Poisson} \end{cases}$$

• $f(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, t)$: distribution function of the electrons

- $\overrightarrow{E}(\overrightarrow{x}, t) = -\overrightarrow{grad}\phi$: the electric field, here self-induced; ϕ is the associated scalar potential
- ε_0 : vacuum permittivity
- e, m : electron charge and mass
- *t* : time
- $\overrightarrow{x} \in (\mathbb{R}/(L_x\mathbb{Z})) \times (\mathbb{R}/(L_y\mathbb{Z}))$: particle position (1d, 2d or 3d)
- $\overrightarrow{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2$: particle speed (1d, 2d or 3d)

•
$$\rho(\vec{x},t) = e\left(1 - \int f(\vec{x},\vec{v},t)d\vec{v}\right)$$
: volume charge density

Essentially three methods for modelling the particle density inside plasma :

- Semi-Lagrangian methods
- Particle-in-Cell methods
- Eulerian methods

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \overrightarrow{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overrightarrow{x}} + \frac{q}{m} \overrightarrow{E} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overrightarrow{v}} = 0$$

• splitting of the Vlasov equation into two simpler equations :

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \overrightarrow{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overrightarrow{x}} + \frac{q}{m} \overrightarrow{E} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overrightarrow{v}} = 0 \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \overrightarrow{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overrightarrow{x}} = 0$$
[Cheng and Knorr, 1976]
$$\qquad \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{q}{m} \overrightarrow{E} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overrightarrow{v}} = 0$$

<u>splitting</u> of the Vlasov equation into two simpler equations :

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \overrightarrow{V} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overrightarrow{x}} + \frac{q}{m} \overrightarrow{E} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overrightarrow{V}} = 0$$

[Cheng and Knorr, 1976]

• <u>follow</u> the characteristics :

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \overrightarrow{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overrightarrow{x}} = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{q}{m} \overrightarrow{E} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overrightarrow{v}} = 0$$



Values after k time steps.

Values after k + 1 time steps.

Х

→ X

splitting of the Vlasov equation into two simpler equations :

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \overrightarrow{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overrightarrow{x}} + \frac{q}{m} \overrightarrow{E} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overrightarrow{v}} = 0$$

[Cheng and Knorr, 1976]

follow the characteristics :

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \overrightarrow{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overrightarrow{x}} = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{q}{m} \overrightarrow{E} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overrightarrow{v}} = 0$$



 $g^*(x, (k+1)\delta_t)$

Х Values after k time steps.



Values after k + 1 time steps.

25/08/2016 6 / 20

• splitting of the Vlasov equation into two simpler equations :

• splitting of the Vlasov equation into two simpler equations :

Values after k time steps.

Values after k + 1 time steps.

- approximation of f via (a lot of) numerical particles
 - one numerical particle represents many real-life particles

- approximation of f via (a lot of) numerical particles
 - one numerical particle represents many real-life particles
- particles only interact via the self-induced fields (but don't consider every interaction it's not N-body model)

Particle-in-Cell Methods

- approximation of *f* via (a lot of) numerical particles
 - one numerical particle represents many real-life particles
- particles only interact via the self-induced fields (but don't consider every interaction it's not N-body model)

•
$$f(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} weight_k \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x_k}) \delta(\vec{v} - \vec{v_k})$$

•
$$\delta$$
 is the distribution of Dirac :

•
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \delta(x) dx = 1$$

•
$$\delta(0) = +\infty$$

•
$$\delta(x) = 0$$
 when $x \neq 0$

SL

- + only stores f via a grid on positions and speeds : faster on 1D (2D grid) and 2D (4D grid)
 - slower on 3D (6D grid is too much)
- PIC
 - $\ + \$ only stores a grid for the fields on positions : faster on 3D
 - also stores an array of particles : slower on 1D and 2D
 - requires a lot of particles : stochastic convergence in $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$

Dispersion relation

Principle : solve exactly the linearized equation.

If $f^0 \equiv f^0(v)$ is an equilibrium solution and $f(t = 0, x, v) = f^0(v) + A\hat{f}(0, v)e^{ik.x}$ where $A \ll 1$ then

$$E(t,x) = Ae^{ik.x} \sum_{\omega \in D^{-1}(\{0\})} \operatorname{Res}(\omega)e^{-i\omega t} \frac{k}{|k|} + O(A^2),$$

with D an analytic function depending only on f^0 and k and Res an analytic function depending on f^0 , k and $\hat{f}(0, .)$.

•
$$\forall \omega \in D^{-1}(\{0\}), \mathcal{I}m(\omega) \leq 0 \Rightarrow \text{stable. e.g. } f^0 = \frac{e^{-\frac{v^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}.$$

• $\exists \omega \in D^{-1}(\{0\}), \mathcal{I}m(\omega) > 0 \Rightarrow \text{ unstable. e.g. } f^0 = \frac{v^2 e^{-\frac{v^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}.$

Test case : Landau

$$f(0,x,v) = \frac{e^{-\frac{v^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}(1 + A\cos(kx)) \Rightarrow \forall \omega \in D^{-1}(\{0\}), \mathcal{I}\mathsf{m}(\omega) \leq 0$$



PICSL Project (Rennes / Strasbourg)

25/08/2016 10 / 20

Problem : linearly, 2d solution is a superposition of 1d solutions. Solution : find the term in A^2 in the expansion of f.

Principle : if $f = f^{0}(v) + Af^{1}(t, x, v) + A^{2}f^{2}(t, x, v)$ and $E = AE^{1}(t, x) + A^{2}E^{2}(t, x)$ then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t f^2 + v.\nabla_x f^2 - E^2.\nabla_v f^0 - E^1.\nabla_v f^1 = 0, \\ -\Delta_x \Phi^2 = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f^2 \, \mathrm{d} v, \\ E^2 = -\nabla_x \Phi^2. \end{array} \right.$$

It is the linearized equation but with a source term $E^1 \cdot \nabla_v f^1$ that is given by the linear analysis. The solution is given by the Duhamel's formula.

Consequence :

• one can deduce the dominant time mode of f^2 .

$$f(t=0,x,v)=f^0(v)+A\alpha(v)e^{ik_1\cdot x}+A\beta(v)e^{ik_2\cdot x}$$





If $f^0(v) = \frac{v_x^2 e^{-\frac{|v|^2}{2}}}{2\pi}$ and $L = 4\pi$ then for the spatial modes : mode k is unstable $\Leftrightarrow D_k^{-1}(\{0\}) \cap (\mathbb{R} + i\mathbb{R}^*_+) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow k = \pm \frac{1}{2}(1,0)$ We take $f(0, x, v) = (1 + A\cos(\frac{y}{2}) + A\cos(\frac{x+y}{2}))f^0(v)$, A = 0.001. The theory makes us expect :

- a Landau damping at the order 1 in A
- an explosion of the space mode $\frac{1}{2}(1,0)$ at the order 2

If $f^0(v) = \frac{v_x^2 e^{-\frac{|v|^2}{2}}}{2\pi}$ and $L = 4\pi$ then for the spatial modes : mode k is unstable $\Leftrightarrow D_k^{-1}(\{0\}) \cap (\mathbb{R} + i\mathbb{R}^*_+) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow k = \pm \frac{1}{2}(1,0)$ We take $f(0, x, v) = (1 + A\cos(\frac{y}{2}) + A\cos(\frac{x+y}{2}))f^0(v), A = 0.001.$



The movie

http://www.barsamian.am/Slides/2dx2d_rho.mpg

Test case : Badsi Herda

Two species, $\epsilon = \sqrt{\frac{m_i}{m_e}}$. Scaling

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f_i + v \cdot \partial_x f_i + E \cdot \partial_v f_i = 0, \\ \partial_t f_e + \frac{1}{\epsilon} v \cdot \partial_x f_e - \frac{1}{\epsilon} E \cdot \partial_v f_e = 0, \\ \partial_x E = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_i - f_e \, \mathrm{d} v \, . \end{cases}$$

Initial conditions and initialisation :

$$\begin{cases} f_e(t=0,x,v) = \frac{e^{-\frac{v^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \\ f_i(t=0,x,v) = 8\frac{e^{-2v^2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}(1+A\cos(kx)). \end{cases}$$

Time modes :

$$D\equiv D_1(\xi)+D_2(\epsilon\xi)$$

When $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, one has

$$D^{-1}(\{0\}) \equiv [D_1 + D_2(0)]^{-1}(\{0\}) \sqcup rac{1}{\epsilon} D_2^{-1}(\{0\}) + O(\epsilon).$$

Test case : Badsi Herda

With $\omega_1 \in i\mathbb{R}^*_+$ and $\mathcal{I}m(\omega_2) < 0$: $E \equiv Ae^{ikx}(\alpha e^{-i\omega_1 t} + \beta e^{-i\frac{\omega_2}{\epsilon}t})$ Numerically, with $\epsilon = \sqrt{0.1}$ and A = 0.01, we have :



PICSL Project (Rennes / Strasbourg)

Test case : Badsi Herda

With bilinear analysis, one expects

$$E \equiv A e^{ikx} (\alpha e^{-i\omega_1 t} + \beta e^{-i\frac{\omega_2}{\epsilon}t}) + A^2 \gamma e^{2ikx} e^{-i2\omega_1 t}$$

Numerically, with $\epsilon = \sqrt{0.1}$ and A = 0.01, we have :



PICSL Project (Rennes / Strasbourg)

Numerical comparison PIC / SL (1D)



18 / 20

Numerical comparison PIC / SL (1D)



- extension of the Vlasov-Poisson model to the Vlasov-Maxwell model
- adding of an external magnetic field
- more precise modelling (drift-kinetic)

PICSL Project (Rennes / Strasbourg)

Plasma physics via computer simulation

That's all Folks!

25/08/2016

